

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

:

Case No. 3:12-cr-156

-vs-

District Judge Walter Herbert Rice

Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

:

WILLIAM D. BROWN,

Defendant.

DETENTION ORDER

This case came on for initial appearance and arraignment on January 30, 2013. Defendant is presently serving a sentence in the custody of the State of Ohio upon his conviction of weapons offenses in the Montgomery County Common Pleas Court (Case No. 2012CR0100). The grand jury for this District indicted Defendant in three counts for distribution of cocaine and heroin and possessing a firearm while under a disability (Doc. No. 3). Defendant was brought before the Court on Writ of Habeas Corpus ad Prosequendum and expressed through counsel his desire to remain in federal custody until disposition of this case.

The United States has moved to detain Defendant without bond (Doc. No. 7).

In requesting detention, the United States relied on the report of the Pretrial Services Officer, the presumption of detainability arising from the nature of the offense and the maximum and minimum sentences, and the probable cause determination made by the grand jury. Defendant presented no testimony.

Based upon the evidence presented and for the reasons stated on the record in open court,

the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C. §3142(c) will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required and the safety of the community.

The term “safety of the community” refers to the community’s security from criminal conduct by the Defendant, whether violent or not. *United States v. Redd*, Case No. CR-3-00-92 (S.D. Ohio January 22, 2001), *citing United States v. Ramsey*, 110 F. 3d 65, 1997 WL 135443 (6th Cir. March 24, 1997)(unpublished)(when considering the “safety of the community” in the context of pre-trial release, “the courts look to more than whether the defendant has been guilty of physical violence”); *United States v. Vance*, 851 F. 2d 166, 169 (6th Cir. 1988)(recognizing that community safety concerns focus on not only the safety of particular individuals, but also on the safety of the community as a whole).

The Government's Motion to detain is GRANTED.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1) the Defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- 2) the Defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3) on order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States, the person in charge of the facility in which the Defendant is confined deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal or his deputy for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Defendants who appeal to a district judge from this Order must, at the same time as filing the appeal, order a transcript of the detention hearing from the court reporter.

January 31, 2013.

s/ *Michael R. Merz*
United States Magistrate Judge